A FURTHER NOTE ON THE ANATOMICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GENERA CYPRÆA AND TRIVIA.

By H. O. N. SHAW, F.Z.S.

Read 10th December, 1909.

Since writing my last paper on Cypræa and Trivia, in which I endeavoured to show the anatomical differences which I considered sufficient to establish Trivia as a genus, Professor W. H. Dall, to whom I am much obliged for his information, has kindly drawn my attention to a further distinction, which I have not noticed in the previous paper. I may mention that the following observations are taken from literature only, as I have not had the opportunity of examining any live specimens. My thanks are due to Mr. E. A. Smith, who, with his usual kindness, has enabled me to verify certain references.

In Trivia Europæa (arctica), as described by Clark: ² "The head is scarcely a projection, concave laterally, flat above, and from its central basal vertical fissure a long proboscis can be exserted, though it is rarely seen." The animal of Trivia Europæa (arctica) is figured by Forbes & Hanley in their History of British Mollusea, 1853, vol. i, pl. NN, figs. 5-7, fig. 7 showing the under surface of head; and the description runs, op. cit., vol. iii, p. 494, "head broad, sublunate; proboscis retractile." H. & A. Adams, in The Genera of Recent Mollusca, vol. iii, pl. xxviii, fig. 5, have copied Forbes & Hanley's

fig. 5.

Cypræa has, according to Messrs. Adams, op. cit., vol. i, p. 264, "head broad, rostrum short." Troschel, in his Das Gebiss der Schnecken, vol. i, pl. xvii, fig. 4, gives a figure of the head of Cypræa earneola, and on pp. 202 and 203 op. eit. he differentiates at length between Cypræa and Trivia. From his remarks and the other works consulted, as Professor Dall observes in his communication to me, it appears that Cypræa has a short, stout, subtruneate muzzle, from which a short evertible proboscis may be exserted, whereas Trivia has no muzzle, but a long slender proboscis, which, when withdrawn, leaves only a vertical slit between the tentacles on the lower side of the head (see Forbes & Hanley, op. cit., fig. 7). I am informed by Professor Dall that Trivia Californiana is very similar to T. arctica. The above differences are further proof of the advisability of considering Trivia as a genus; in fact, Troschel went so far as to establish a family Triviacea.

¹ Proc. Malac. Soc., vol. viii, pp. 288-313.

² A History of the British Marine Testaceous Mollusca, 1855, p. 505.